

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 JOHN ROBERT DEMOS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DUSTIN ROYSE, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C19-1698JLR

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION AND
DISMISSING THIS CASE


15 Before the court are (1) *pro se* Plaintiff John Robert Demos’s proposed complaint
16 (Compl. (Dkt. # 1-1)); (2) Magistrate Judge Mary Alice Theiler’s Report and
17 Recommendation recommending the court dismiss the case (R&R (Dkt. # 2)); and (3)
18 Mr. Demos’s objections to the Report and Recommendation (Obj. (Dkt. # 3)
19 (“Objections”)). As the Report and Recommendation sets forth, Mr. Demos is
20 “well-known locally and nationally as an abusive litigant. He is under pre-filing bar
21 orders in a number of courts, including this Court, the Eastern District of Washington, the
22 Washington State courts, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States

1 Supreme Court.” (*See* R&R at 1 (citation omitted).) Mr. Demos has filed more than the
2 three IFP applications and proposed actions he is allowed during each year. (*See* R&R at
3 2.) Additionally, Mr. Demos has failed to show the “imminent danger of serious physical
4 injury” required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed IFP, because he has had more than three
5 prior actions dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim. (*See* R&R
6 at 2.)

7 Mr. Demos’s Objections do nothing to remedy the above problems with his
8 filings. (*See generally* Obj.) The Objections criticize the Report and Recommendation,
9 but do not cure Mr. Demos’s violation of his bar order in this district, and do not
10 demonstrate that Mr. Demos is in “imminent danger of serious physical injury.” (*See*
11 *generally id.*)

12 Accordingly, the court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. # 2) in
13 full, DENIES Mr. Demos’s Objections (Dkt. # 3), and DISMISSES this case without
14 prejudice.

15 Dated this 13th day of December, 2019.

16
17 

18 JAMES L. ROBART
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22